1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	GARY FOWLER, Case No. CV 10-2204 JVS (JCG)	
11	Plaintiff, ) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY	
12	v. COMPLAINT SHOULD NOT BE ) DISMISSED	
13	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15		
16		
17	On May 5, 2010, plaintiff Gary Fowler ("Plaintiff"), a California prisoner	
18	proceeding pro se, filed a civil rights complaint ("Complaint") pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §	
19	1983. On May 17, 2010, this matter was reassigned to the undersigned judicial officer.	
20	On May 25, 2010, the Court sua sponte screened the Complaint, and found several	
21	deficiencies in the pleading. (See May 25, 2010 Ord. at 4-7.) Accordingly, the Court	
22	dismissed the Complaint in part, without prejudice, but granted Plaintiff leave to	
23	amend with specific guidance and instructions on the filing of a first amended	
24	complaint, if any. (Id. at 7-9.)	
25	Plaintiff was granted up to and including June 25, 2010 to file a first amended	
26	complaint. (May 25, 2010 Ord. at 9.) As of today's date, over two and a half weeks	
27	later, Plaintiff still has not filed a first amended complaint.	

Accordingly, within **fourteen** (14) days of the date of this Order, Plaintiff is **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE**, in writing, why this action should not be dismissed, in part, for failure to prosecute and/or comply with a court order. Plaintiff is cautioned that his failure to timely file a response to this Order to Show Cause will be deemed by the Court as consent to the dismissal of this action, in part, without prejudice. DATED: July 12, 2010 HON. JAY C. GANDHI UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE